	Case 3:11-cv-00862-JST Document 26 Filed 11/15/11 Page 1 of 2	
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
10	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11		
12	TIMOTHY HANNA,) No. C 11-0862 LHK (PR)	
13	Plaintiff,) ORDER GRANTING EXTENSION OF TIME FOR PLAINTIFF TO FILE	
14	v.) PROOFS OF SERVICE	
15	J. CHUDY, G. ELLIS, C. HAMMOND,) and D. FOSTON,)	
16	Defendants. (Docket No. 24)	
17)	
18	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, filed the instant civil rights complaint	
19	pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, the Americans With Disabilities Act ("ADA"), and Section 504 o	f
20	the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, concerning the conditions of his confinement at CTF - Soledad.	
21	Before the Court is Plaintiff's motion for extension of time to file proofs of service on all	
22	Defendants.	
23	On May 25, 2011, the Court reviewed Plaintiff's complaint and determined that he	
24	alleged cognizable claims of deliberate indifference to his serious medical needs, and a violation	1
25	of the ADA and Rehabilitation Act. The Court further recognized that, because Plaintiff had	
26	paid the filing fee, he could not rely upon the United States Marshal for service upon the named	
27	Defendants. Plaintiff was directed to provide the Court, by July 26, 2011, with proofs of service	•
28	of the summons and complaint on all the Defendants, or show good cause why they should not	
	Order Granting Extension of Time for Plaintiff to File Proofs of Service-2 P:\pro-se\sj.lhk\cr.11\Hanna862psrveot	

be dismissed.

On June 7, 2011, Plaintiff filed with the Court "Notice and Acknowledgment of Receipt of Summons and Complaint," as well as a copy of the summons he presumably sent to the Defendants. However, these documents did not demonstrate that the Defendants were properly served pursuant to Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Plaintiff filed several motions requesting default judgment, and a special appointment for the Marshal to serve Defendants. On September 19, 2011, the Court sua sponte granted Plaintiff another extension of time to file proofs of service as it was apparent he misunderstood what was required. On October 21, 2011, Plaintiff filed a motion for extension of time.

The Court GRANTS Plaintiff a final extension of time. Plaintiff must file within **thirty days** from the filing date of this order all proofs of service upon Defendants, or show good cause
why they should be not dismissed. **Failure to comply will result in dismissal of the unserved Defendants.**

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: 11/14/11

LUCY H. KOLL United State District Judge